

Course 4.10 Introduction to GIS for Hydrogeologists

Question 1 Score				Question 1: Did the training course meet your expectations? 1=Completely, 2=Partially, 3= Not at all	Question 2 Score				Question 2: What do you think about the overall relevance of training course? Considering the limits on your time and the topics discussed? 1= Too Long, 2= Just right, 3 = Too short	Question 3 Score				Question 3: How the relevant was the training workshop to your organization or project's need? 1=Very relevant, 2 = Somewhat relevant, 3 = Not relevant
No.	1	2	3		1	2	3	1		2	3			
1				I know that the time was short and it was like an introduction but it was good because there were some people that the were not familiar with GIS.				It was just right because it was like an overview but when the training goes to details the 3 days training was not enough.				It was relevant, but because of the shortness of time we couldn't learned in details, and for technical points we needs some handouts, I hope that we will be invite for the upcoming training coures to complete our knowledge.		
2				The contains of this workshop were reflecting more efficiency and utility but according to the training program that we would going to be able to create geological, hydro geological and hydrological maps by our self from raw data it was not satisfactory because the processed maps were demonstrating.				As the program issues demonstrated, it contained more important and instructive issues but due to the shortness of time we were not able to get more, so we request these type of programs for more days to cover the important issues with details.				As we know the ministry of water and energy especially the water source programis working in analyzing the hydro geologicaldata because it has a direct relation with surface and ground water therefore the hydro geological issues are important for us, hope we may have these types of workshop in coming future.		
3				It would be great if we focus more on practical works and we should work our self in demonstrating maps.				As it was clear from its name, it was Only GIS issues, so we request to focus more on practical.				The data that we are collecting from the field, it would be great if we could practically use it in the map.		
4				The subject of the course was good but course was very short.				the time was limited to the subjects of this course, we propuse to extend the time to the course and focus more on GIS.				Partially the workshop was relevant to my job, I would suggest that please include some surface water training as well.		
5				Because it was introduction.				The course was covering very larg field but the time was very short.				The practical part was considered to be very short, It would be helpful if we could focus more in practical in future. In the meantime It would be great if the same trainee going to invite for upcoming training.		
6				It was just a kind of introduction to the softwares but not working with them.				It would be great it the duration time would be a week to gain more issues.				It was relevant because we use these applications and methods.		

Course 4.10 Introduction to GIS for Hydrogeologists

7		This training course covered a portion of our requirements, because the term of of this course was too short and it was just an introduction, we recommend more practical and professional works.			Because the time devoted to discussion was too limited because it was only for an introduction of a course			Whereas our professional work mostly relates to this program,so if we use more technology in this field it would be great because our profession is to provide drink water.
8		It was partially relevant to my work because the subject discussed in this training was not organized with less practical.			The training duration was to short because GIS is a big software that can be used anywhere.			The Uganda issues were not relevant to this training but it was useful for making ideas.
9		Because I need only GIS training.			The days of the training were good, I recommend practical more than theories			I work in GIS field only and some of the overview of this course were relevant to me.
10		Actually it was the first time I got information about GIS,whereas these three days were only an introduction of GIS,so I can't say I have learned everything.			According to my vision the introduction of GIS was too short, because the introduction was disorganized. It would be helpful if we would first introduce the usage of GIS and then the GIS software.			I think we can use GIS not only for water but we could use it in different field.
11		The introduction with feature of the course was partially good .but in the future even it would be less but it must have a connection with each other.			Whereas it the current course was just an introduction of the program, hope in the training of main programs we may focus on duration of time.			This course met the requirement of todays technalogy and its connection with hydro geology but we discussed some part which were not related to this issue, so it cause to give less details about it.
12		It was a great course with a best start but unfortunatly some part of this course doesn't met my requirement.			it would be helpful if we would have such courses in future to meet our GIS profession requirements.			Some part of this course were relevant to our requirements.
13		if we would focused on practical work it would more helped us. Only presentation is not enough			For such issues three days are not enough we must devote more time.			planning workshops is very good, through which we could solve our problems and fill our gapes.
14		The time was not enough we have been just familiarized with the issue.			This issue was really a major issue, to learn it, it needs more time.			Some issues discussed in this course were not relevant to our work.
15								