

Geophysical Exploration Course 1.2 Participants Evaluation

Question 1 Score			Question 1: Did the training course meet your expectations? 1=Completely, 2=Partially, 3= Not at all	Question 2 Score			Question 2: What do you think about the overall relevance of training course? Considering the limits on your time and the topics discussed? 1= Too Long, 2= Just right, 3 = Too short	Question 3 Score			Question 3: How the relevant was the training workshop to your organization or project's need? 1=Very relevant, 2 = Somewhat relevant, 3 = Not relevant
1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
							Of course the quality of course was quite acceptable, but the length of training was too short if it possible please extent it				I am working as water resources expert and the course was qaite relevent to my job, because we always dealing with sarface and ground water. Recommendation: If possible, please add some contents of hydrogeology and hydrology in the next course in usage of GIS. And concentrate directly on surface and underground water also watershades as well.
			In the first day we just studied theary but we need to practical work I on the software the theory was belong to GIS expert not for technical person.				The time span was enough but the lecturer focused on presenting some documents was not very useful.				The software is directly to WSP it can short and short ovr time so it is time saving like in survey from remote.
			The time was too short during training we have some office work and we have some problems in GIS it was out of course agenda.				I had problems in GIS with designeing of Water supply projects and in this courese it not been solved.				A lot of problems the I had in servey and designing of projects in water sector it solved.
			Partialy it solved our need but not complete				As you know GIS is a faculty and duration for basic GI will be more then two weeks				All of them was relevant to our job but it was very short program was wish to study all of thing that to solve our problem regarding GIS and we are thankful from teach Dr. Abdullah and helder of training.

Geophysical Exploration Course 1.2 Participants Evaluation

		Because the trainer taught too much on theory he should teach the practical work but overall the trainer was excellent			The length of training course was too short as we did not cover the practical work			As engineers are related to design work so GIS course helps a lot and enhanced our knowledge in this field; such training should be organized to learn the GIS completely I am very grateful to WatSIP for arranging such sort of training.
		Because it was focused on theoretical issues; better understanding it would be better to work on practice.			The program of ArcGIS is a big program it needs time to modify briefly all the commands and components in sufficient time.			This is useful program for collecting data for water supply projects.
		The training course was partially			One training not enough more than 8 course will be too long now is too short.			The training workshop was relevant for me. Thanks from teachers that help us in this program.
		This course was completely according to my expectation, the material and the contents of this course were according to our knowledge.			The term of this course was short but we were able to catch the idea it might be great if we could continue this course in upcoming days.			It was partially related to my work but overall the contents of this course exceed my knowledge so in case of need I could take advantage of this course for my organization.
		I did expect partially because I thought it might be in high level but if it was the same as previous an introduction but I am hopeful that there is other course related.			If I say that it's too short so we know that more days maybe make problems in our own organization, but I prefer one week in detail subject.			The training was very relevant to my current project that I am doing in my organization but I have a suggestion that regarding the GIS software on the toolbox there are so many analysis tools that if it is possible to add it in other courses by specialist trainers, international.
		Because now I can develop some relevant GIS in our office but not completely with all needs			The overall length training was too short because our trainer points to a lot of necessary options which our office has seriously needed but for short time I couldn't obtain completely.			It was very relevant due to our office working directly to water resources and basins with sub networks river so we are seriously needed for GIS using.
		About the course I want to say that it was very good and knowledgeable and I thank the trainer.			I think the time of this course was too short if it will be long it will be better			This course was relevant to my job and I hope to participate in other courses.
		This course was basic information about GIS because of that we remained some things that we remember it and it's so useful.			GIS is a long time course or training so if there will be another course this 3 days are better for a period.			We are working in MIS of GIS unit and this training was so important to us and use our ideas and knowledge that we got from this course we will be happy to participate in other courses

Geophysical Exploration Course 1.2 Participants Evaluation

		It was very good course we are studied at beginning and end by important and necessary menu and option and we are learning practical and theory.			For theory it was good but for practical is short course we are need to work a lot of in computer practicaly and learn a lot.			We are learned about GIS why we use and what we do in GIS. We learned how can we make or design a better map for office where our legend where our title how can we add survey data to GIS how can convert to shapefile and editing that data we are personally. I am very appreciated from Norpaln that kinds of course and lecturers and staff. Thanks
		We expected more than this most of participants were not able to work with GIS so they lower speed of course.			The duration was acceptable but if is not enough if would be better for one week.			We work with GIS and it's completely relevant to my duty.
		Because we are working in WASH sector in this way we are facing every day a different task in this way this training helped me.			As compared to topics and time if was right for as we reached our goal in specific time.			Due to workshop in a WASH sector it was really relevant to our organization because of making of WASH sector maps.
		This was a primary information and it was good for me.			This was a useful course to us and the practical time was too short.			We are working in survey field and this course was good to us and after this we can solve the problems in GIS.
		In this course we learned a lot of things that we didn't know before.			The time of this course was very good we know a lot of things but time for practice was limited.			With GIS we can study all location which we want and collect the own data.
		Considering our need in GIS field the course was too short we expect long term training.			As the GIS is using in different fields now a days we are looking forward to long term courses.			