

## Spatial Analyses Course 4.3 Participants Evaluation

Question 1: Did the training course meet your expectations? 1=Completely, 2=Partially, 3= Not at all				Question 2: What do you think about the overall relevance of training course? Considering the limits on your time and the topics discussed? 1= Too Long, 2= Just right, 3 = Too short				Question 3: How the relevant was the training workshop to your organization or project's need?? 1=Very relevant, 2 = Somewhat relevant, 3 = Not relevant				
No.	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
1				Partially it achieved our expectation but generally it was good. The continuation of the instructor was good but there was less attention on practical portion.				In general the time for the training according to the training issue was not enough and there was not enough time for our practice too.				It was completely according to our profession, it would be much better if we used the issues which were related to hydrogeology during the training course.
2				It was very useful course and was taught in the best way by the qualified instructor.				We expecting for the longer duration of these types of courses.				Very relevant.
3				The training course was very good.				Kindly request Norplan to provide us these types of GIS training courses in the future.				No Comments
4				According to our requirement the training course was too short and the subject was very important, so it needs minimum 3 days to explain in details				The time was really short but the course was very important, so it need more time to be learnt.				The training course was completely relevant to our work and profession.
5				The informations and the data were very useful for us but the only problem was the limitation of the training, we really need more time for practice.				The time was very short for better practice we really need more time, it would be very useful for us.				No Comments
6				There are a lot of issues to be solved, so we know that the course is in continuation and they may solve in the future.				Generally it was good, but the time was very short and the practice was in the same time which would really need more time.				It was relevant to our work because the issue was completely related to the position that we working.
7				It is a good opportunity for us to practice more.				Because the program is very important and it really needs more practice.				This course helps us in technical survey or topographical areas.
8				We understand the issues of the training course but due to the lack of time it was not fully covered.				Spatial analysis is a big issue, so it really needs more details and explanation but due to lack of time I weren't able to fully cover it.				The course and the issues were completely relevant to our field and profession.
9				In this course we have studied Erase, Clip and Union but the things which are very important for us are working on raster data (extract, Erase.....).				We know that the spatial analysis is very big issue but in this course we allocated only one day which was not enough for this issue and we couldn't fully cover it.				This is a coordinated system and it was fully relevant to water supply engineering area.
10				The best issue among all the issues in this course was the analysis process.				The time was not enough for this course.				Because we are using the Geo processing in water supply, like Hydrology flow etc
11				This training course partially met our expectation.				The time for this training course was too short, if it was 3 days we would fully covered the issues.				No Comments